UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/004,926   | 12/05/2001  | Carl P. Gusler       | AUS920011005US1     | 9814             |
| Kelly K. Kordzik 5400 Renaissance Tower 1201 Elm Street Dallas, TX 75270 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | SALCE, JASON P      |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2623                |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 04/09/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## 10/004,926 GUSLER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Jason P. Salce 2623 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jason P. Salce. (4)\_\_\_\_\_. (2) Robert A. Voigt, Jr. Date of Interview: 07 April 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: All. Identification of prior art discussed: Burnhouse of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner explained the interpretation made in view of the claim limitations. Applicant acknowledged the examiner's interpretation and possible amendments were discussed. The examiner stated that further search and consideration would be made in view of any claim amendments. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Jason P Salce/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2623 Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Attachment to a signed Office action.